UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,460	07/26/2006	Mikio Hanafusa	OGOSH58USA	1436
270 7590 09/27/2007 HOWSON AND HOWSON			EXAMINER	
SUITE 210		LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
501 OFFICE CENTER DRIVE FT WASHINGTON, PA 19034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,460	HANAFUSA, MIKIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael La Villa	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commou lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) file 22a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2 2 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 13-30 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 13-30 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2006 applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyathe correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P.T. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060726.	O-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 2. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding Claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "black treatment."

 To the extent that black treatment is other than providing a black surface layer, it is unclear what is meant. No discussion of what this could be is apparently provided in the Specification, and it is otherwise unclear what is meant.
- 5. Regarding Claim 2, it is unclear whether the surface before or after being rendered black is to obtain the claimed glossiness parameter. The plain meaning of the text suggests the former, but the reported data in Tables 2 and 3 of the Specification suggests that latter.
- 6. Regarding Claims 14-17 and 23-26, it is unclear whether these claims demand the presence of the plating layer described in these claims. It is unclear whether these claims merely describe what conditions must be satisfied if the plating layer described is present. It is unclear whether these claims permit the presence of more than one plating layer as allowed for by Claim 13.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 8. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/597,460

Art Unit: 1775

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

9. Claims 1, 2, and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification; while being enabling for a copper foil that is provided with a layer that has a blackened surface, does not reasonably provide enablement for copper foil whose surface is blackened without providing a separate layer having a black surface. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The Specification teaches that the article that is formed that meets the claimed color difference, chroma, grain dimension, Ra, Rt, and Rz requirements is a copper foil that is plated with one of several plating layers that confers a blackened surface meeting the claimed structural parameters. There is no apparent teaching or guidance as to how to achieve the claimed structural features without providing a plating layer, and it is unclear otherwise how one of ordinary skill in the art could fabricate a foil achieving those structural features.

Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1775

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 23 September 2007

> AICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER